



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,594	11/28/2005	Marc Blondel	0070663-000002	1460
21839	7590	02/26/2010	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com  
offserv@bipc.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,594	BLONDEL ET AL.	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** amended

(1) SUSAN HANLEY.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian O'Shaughnessy.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2010

**Time:** 10:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102(a)*

Claims discussed:

*16 and 17*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Bach*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ex. Hanley stated that the rejection of claims 16 and 17 are over the Bach reference alone and that the rejection does not include the Liu and Hundly references. Ex. Hanley noted that drawings in English were filed on 11/28/05 but they lack the indication that they are replacement sheets.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Susan Hanley/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)